UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,)	Case No. 25-4-0003-464-3
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Defendant(s).)	FILED
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 11712025, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 11712025 to 21612015 and finds that the ends of instice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. SETHETOS CALFORNIA 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	Failure to grant a continuance work See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be like	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
_	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
			he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
_	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATED: 17/2025 KANDIS A. WESTMORE			
		/	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney			